

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS/ODNER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,282	10/25/2005	Alain Lecompte	0510-1126	2996
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 06/03/200 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison Street Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			IBRAHIM, MEDINA AHMED	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1638	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/554,282	LECOMPTE, ALAIN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Medina A. Ibrahim	1638		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) \square The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE In the affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
13. Other: It is noted that an initialed and dated copy of the IDS form 1449 of 10/10/08 was inadvertantly not attached to the final
action of 12/23/08. An initialed and dated copy of the IDS form 1449 is attached to this advisory action.
/Medina A Ibrahim/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638

а

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments regarding the rejection under 35 USC 103 are not found persuasive for the reasons of record. Crossing two parent plants of different species produces an F1 recombinant plant because the F1 plant has a new gene combination from the two different parents. The Cichorium endivia and Cichorium intybus are known in the prior art as economically important plants; both plant species have been used together to produce an F1 hybrid plants as evidenced by each of Sidikou-Seyni and Delesalle. Forcing techniques to improve marketable qualities of Cichorium are also known in the prior art as evidenced by Tan. The specific forcing conditions are considered to be optimization of growth parameters which are well within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time this application was filed. In addition, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to produce Cichorium hybrids given that chicory is an important economic plants for its use as a vegetable and as industrial row material in agricultural food industry as taught by Delesalle. The specific morphological characteristics of the claimed plants are not unexpected results. See In re O 'Farrell, 853 F.2d 894, 7 USPQ2d 1673 (Fed. Cir. 1988) where the court decided "Obviousness does not require absolute predictability of success." Id. at 903, 7 USPQ2d at 1681. MPEP 2143.02.